

## Mini Conference Review Form

Please give five ratings in the range 0...5 and at least one paragraph of comments for the paper.

**Paper title:** ...

**Importance:** ...

Low scores might be given for marketing literature and papers on inappropriate or dead topics. High scores are for papers that nearly the entire audience will want to read and understand carefully. Range (0=unimportant, 1=hardly important, 2=some but still insufficient importance, 3=some but sufficient importance, 4=quite important, 5=very important)

**Novelty:** ...

Is the work novel? Low scores should be given for papers that re-hash known techniques in well-established areas. High scores are for papers that open new fields or demonstrate new ways to solve a problem. Range (0=old hat, 1=hardly novel, 2=some but still insufficient novelty, 3=some but sufficient novelty, 4=considerable novelty, 5=entirely new)

**Quality:** ...

A low score might go to a paper whose main theorem is incorrect or whose proposed approach to attacking a problem is not viable in your opinion. High scores are for papers with enough justification to convince you that the work is correct and viable. Range (0=rubbish, 1=hardly worth reading, 2=some but still insufficient merit, 3=some but sufficient merit, 4=considerable merit, 5=top quality)

**Overall:** ...

Should we accept this paper or should we reject it? This is by far the most important number. It need not be an average of the other numbers, but it should reflect them. This number can also reflect issues in addition to those described above (e.g. poor presentation or lack of knowledge of related work). Range (0=reject, 1=likely reject, 2=just below borderline, 3=just above borderline, 4=likely accept, 5=definite accept)

**Self-rating:** ...

Please rate yourself on each paper in terms of your qualifications to judge the paper. Range (0=I know nothing or almost nothing about this area, 1=I know a little about this area, 2=I know something about this area but my knowledge is still insufficient, 3=I have sufficient knowledge of this area, 4=I know a lot about this area, 5=I am an expert in this area)

In addition to these ratings, you must provide a justification for your marks as well as some helpful comments to the authors.

**Justification of marks and comments to authors (continue overleaf)**

...